

appropriate areas that we could do. And that doesn't mean that there are others that are inappropriate, but I think these are most appropriate. I know that we have to be able to target, if you want excellence, you target in a few areas. You can not be all things to all people in all areas, and I feel that this targeted areas are the ones that build on the strengths that we have in order to bring about more improvements and accomplishments in the future. And I would hope that you would turn this down. I think there is so much monitoring that will, in fact, occur, and so much review that will occur on how these funds are used, that the issue being raised, as it has been, further emphasizes the necessity of it, but I also am equally sure it is going to occur. So I would hope you would reject the amendment and understand that this is one area in which the state probably needs to move above a great many others if you want to do some of the things that we talked about for retaining young people, of providing jobs, of encouraging the location of industry. All the cliches that are used for justification of research are accurate. The secret is in targeting it and this is targeted, and I think they are targeted in the general areas and the safeguards are more than adequate as to the utilization of those funds.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, this is a \$35 million vote, \$35 million, at the minimum. Where do I come up with \$35 million? Well, you are spending 20, at 4 million a year for 5 years. However, in the fine print it says they are going to need a \$15 million facility. They want to build a building for \$15 million. It is in the report. If you don't believe me, I can show you. Now they have hammered and they have mentioned biotechnology. We have got to have it. Well, by year five, they are going to spend \$6 million on that one item. However, what you don't know is that they are permanently spending \$5 million in that field. They are already spending 5 million, the University of Nebraska Medical School, and the Institute of Natural Resources. So you take 5 million times 4, there is 20 million. You take 6 million that they are going to spend out of this 20 we are giving them, and that is \$27 million on just one item. Now, the funds may be utilized, may be utilized to augment salaries of current faculty. They can raise the salaries of the current faculty. I thought that the faculty was going to get their increase on the university budget. However, this gives them more money to raise faculty